

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231 WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,252	03/27/2001	Scott A. Waldman	TJU-2389	2091
75	90 11/04/2002			
Mark DeLuca, Esq.			EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ			YU, MISOOK	
MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP				
One Liberty Place - 46th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia, PA 19103			1642	12
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2002	, ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

>						
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
- 1	5	09/819,252	WALDMAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
Period for	r Reply	I V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	NTH(S) FROM			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	9 August 2002 .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 25,27,29 and 31 is/are pending in	the application.				
4)🖂	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) 25 and 27 is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 29 and 31 is/are rejected.					
7)	- I to the data					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ c	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
а)					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the detailed september 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)	a) [] The translation of the loreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachme		∧ □ 1-4	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) [] No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/819,252

Art Unit: 1642

·一 >

The Examiner of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Examiner Misook Yu.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 25, 27, 29, and 31 are pending and examined on merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Rejection of claims 25 and 27 drawn to stomach cancer diagnosis method using CDX2 expression as biomarker under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention **is withdrawn**. The reason for the withdrawal is that Bai et al (Cancer Lett 2002 Feb 8;176(1):47-55, abstract only) teaches that CDX2 transcript is indeed an useful biomarker for stomach cancer detection as the instant specification predicted at page 11 lines 14-16.

Claims 29 and 31 **remain rejected** for reasons set forth in the prior Office Action mailed 8-19-2002 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In the prior Office Action mailed 8-19-2002 and applicant's Amendment dated 8-19-2002, the claims seem to be interpreted as drawn to a method of diagnosing esophageal cancer in an individual suspected of having esophageal cancer by detecting presence of CDX2 transcript. However, as written the claims could be also interpreted as method of validating CDX2 as a biomarker for esophageal cancer since the sample for the CDX2 detection comes from a patient who already is diagnosed with esophageal cancer probably from histology and/or cytology: this method does not require undue experimentation. This examiner, however, assumes that the instant claims are drawn to cancer diagnosis method using CDX2. Applicant argue that claimed invention do not cause undue experimentation but this argument is not persuasive because neither the instant specification nor the current state of art provides any evidence that the assertion

Application/Control Number: 09/819,252

Art Unit: 1642

at page 11 lines 13-18 which says that CDX2 is expressed in esophageal cancer cells but not in normal esophageal cells is true or not. As applicant noted in the Amendment Paper No. 12, dated 8-19-2002 at page 5 line 2 from the bottom, CDX2 expression as cancer biomarker is unpredictable. In order to use the instant invention, undue experimentation involving a large number of clinical samples is required to assess if CDX2 could also be used as a biomarker for esophageal cancer. It is well known in the art that prior to the successful application of newly described markers, research must validate the markers against acknowledged disease end points using histology and/or cytology, establish quantitative criteria for marker presence/absence, and confirm marker predictive value in prospective population trials.

Conclusion

Claims 25 and 27 are allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISOOK YU, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony C Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 1642

305-3014 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Misook Yu October 29, 2002

> MARY E. MOSHER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800

> > 1600

Page 4